Vitamin D, immunity and inflammation: the experience of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic

Pasquale Strazzullo

Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, Federico II University of Naples

INTRODUCTION

The biological system of vitamin D comprises active metabolites, enzymes and receptors that give rise to genomic and non-genomic effects at a systemic level. In addition to its impact on the health and attributes of the musculoskeletal system, the vitamin D system has been shown to influence numerous physiological functions at a level of the metabolism and the cardiovascular system [1]. For some time now, important effects of vitamin D and its metabolites on the immune system and its dependent inflammatory reactions have also been acknowledged [2]. The recent outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic has engaged numerous research centres in an attempt to highlight the possible role of vitamin D in relation to susceptibility to infection, clinical expression of the disease and its clinical course. The aim of this brief review is to summarise the state of knowledge on the role of vitamin D in relation to immunity and inflammation, with particular emphasis on what we have learned so far in relation to its impact on the SARS-CoV-2 infection, whereas, due to a lack of space, its impact on autoimmune diseases will not be considered

VITAMIN D AND NON-SPECIFIC IMMUNE RESPONSE (NATURAL IMMUNITY)

In the course of infection, all cellular elements of innate immunity, primarily macrophages and monocytes, significantly express the CY-P27B1 factor, which converts 25(OH)D into 1,25(OH)₂D: the latter increases the antimicrobial activity of macrophages and monocytes in an autocrine manner through the VDR-RXR signal, which in turn stimulates the production of the antimicrobial agent cathelicidin LL-37. This latter agent acts against invading bacteria and fungi by destabilising their plasma membrane and it exerts direct antiviral activity against many respiratory viruses, destroying their protein coating and altering the viability of target cells (Fig. 1). The macrophage

production of cathelicidin LL-37 is such that it can also affect the function of surrounding lymphocytes by leaving the cellular environment [2]. Then, 1,25(OH),D also modulates the differentiation and function of APCs (Antigen Presenting Cells), primarily dendritic cells and macrophages, making them more immature and immune-tolerant, which results in a reduction in antigen presentation and production of the inflammatory interleukin IL-12 and conversely an increase in IL-10 production. Furthermore, 1,25(OH)₂D also suppresses the expression of TLRs (Toll-Like Receptors) on monocytes and inhibits the production of other inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-2, IL-6 and IL-17. Experimental studies have also suggested that the differentiation of NK (Natural Killer) lymphocytes may be modulated by 1,25(OH),D[3,4].

VITAMIN D AND SPECIFIC IMMUNE RESPONSE (ACQUIRED IMMUNITY)

Once T lymphocytes have been activated, they are also able to express CYP27B1, and therefore the conversion of 25(OH) D into 1,25(OH),D, as well as the vitamin D receptor (VDR). On the other hand, the 1,25(OH),D produced by monocytes and macrophages is responsible for a clear shift in the system towards a condition of greater immune tolerance, by acting on the proliferation and differentiation of the same T lymphocytes, meaning a reduced formation of T_{μ} and T_{μ} 17 cells and an increase in the T_{μ}^{\prime} 2 cells. This is associated with the reduced expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines and, conversely, with the increased production of antagonistic cytokines[2,5]. Other mechanisms for the modulation of inflammation include inhibition of COX-2 expression and to stimulate the differentiation of regulatory T-cell (Treg), either directly or indirectly through inter action with APC cells [6]. In addition, it has been reported that 1,25(OH)₂D exerts an anti-oxidative action on monocytes by increasing

UpDates 2021;4(3):78-82 https://doi.org/10.30455/2611-2876-2021-6e

VITAMIN D

Send correspondence to Pasquale Strazzullo pasquale.strazzullo@unina.it

Conflict of interest

The author states that there is no conflict of interest.

How to cite this article: Strazzullo P. Vitamin D, immunity and inflammation: the experience of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Vitamin D – Updates 2021;4(3):78-82. https://doi. org/10.30455/2611-2876-2021-6e

© Copyright by Pacini Editore srl



This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the CC-BY-NC-ND (Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International) license. The article can be used by giving appropriate credit and mentioning the license, but only for non-commercial purposes and only in the original version. For further information: https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/byncnd/4.0/deed.en

NATURAL IMMUNITY

- ↑ monocyte proliferation
- ↑ macrophage chemotaxis and phagocytosis
- ↑ production of antimicrobial peptides

ELIMINATION OF BACTERIA, VIRUSES, FUNGI

- Modulation of APC (antigen presenting cells) ↓ IL-12 ↑ IL-10
- Modulation of endothelial function and vascular permeability
- Maintenance of the integrity of intestinal mucosa and functions of the microbiota

ACQUIRED IMMUNITY

↓ T-cell proliferation and modulation of differentiation

 ↓ Th1/Th17 ↑ Th2/Treg
 ↓ IL-2/IFN-Y/TNF-α /IL-17/IL-21 production
 ↑ IL-4/IL-5/IL-9/IL-13 production
 ↓ COX-2 Expression

 Possible anti-OX action by

 ↑ glutathione reductase activity
 ↓ B lymphocyte and plasma cell proliferation
 ↑ B lymphocyte and plasma cell apoptosis

FIGURE 1.

Vitamin D and the immune system. The figure summarises the current state of knowledge regarding the main effects of vitamin D on the immune system.

glutathione reductase activity, resulting in a reduction in the formation of oxygen free radicals [7] (Fig 1).

It has been shown that 1,25(OH)_D modulates the activity of B lymphocytes as well as T lymphocytes. When the system has been activated, 1,25(OH)₂D reduces plasma cell formation and induces apoptosis of both activated B lymphocytes and the same plasma cells. Furthermore, it inhibits cytokine-mediated B lymphocyte activation by acting on the T helper lymphocytes, while it directly promotes the production of the anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-10 and CCR10 by B lymphocytes. Finally, 1,25(OH),D suppresses the differentiation of mature B lymphocytes into plasma cells and immunological memory B cells. It is believed that these actions may reduce the likelihood of autoimmune-type responses often present in conditions of inflammatory response exacerbated by an external agent [8].

VITAMIN D AND INFECTION: CLINICAL FINDINGS

Still common in many developing countries is a latent form of tuberculosis character-

ised by the formation of a granuloma that confines the mycobacterium in an attempt to control its proliferation. When this containment fails, the patient becomes symptomatic and a diagnosis of active TB can be made [9]. In this condition, vitamin D appears to play an important role in combating the infection by activating macrophages and monocytes as well as by producing cathelicidin. A meta-analysis of seven observational studies showed that the likelihood of contracting TB is significantly higher in the presence of a vitamin D deficiency [10]. Several observational studies have also reported an association between low circulating vitamin D levels and the risk of sepsis, as well as increased morbidity, mortality and ICU stay by septic patients. This relationship could be explained by the modulating effect of 1,25(OH),D on the over-expression of inflammatory cytokines in the critical patient and also by non-genomic actions on the vascular endothelium oriented toward containing the increase in vascular permeability, an important factor in the pathogenesis of septic shock. On the other hand, a reverse-causality mechanism could also be

possible, whereby the low levels of circulating vitamin D in sepsis could instead be explained by extravascular translocation of vitamin D binding protein and an increase in 25(OH)D-24 hydroxylase activity in relation to systemic inflammation. Unfortunately, controlled clinical trials in sepsis have provided mixed results, although most studies have found positive effects on length of stay in the ICU and inpatient mortality [11].

Many studies bear out an independent association between low vitamin D levels and the incidence or severity of respiratory tract infections in children and adults. Respiratory viruses penetrate epithelium of the airways and cause cell and tissue damage, stimulating the immune response resulting in inflammation of the respiratory tract and, in severe cases, even acute respiratory distress syndrome. 1,25(OH)₂D exerts antiviral activity, fostering the production of antimicrobial agents such as cathelicidin, modulating the expression of toll-like receptors in lymphocytes, along with NK cell function, and controlling the over-expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines. A recent meta-analysis of 25 randomised controlled trials showed that vitamin D_2 and D_3 supplementation can provide significant protection against the development of acute respiratory tract infections compared to placebo[12].

VITAMIN D AND SARS-CoV-2 INFECTION

The key element of pulmonary involvement in virus-induced airway disease is intense inflammatory reaction. Although pro-inflammatory cytokine production is an important factor in the response to infection, an intense and prolonged inflam-matory response will cause tissue damage and, in severe cases, may lead to acute respiratory distress syndrome, contributing to fatal outcomes. This sequence of events has been well documented for SARS-CoV-2 infection, leading to the use of suitable drugs to guell the cytokine storm and reduce the level of inflammation in severe cases [13]. The established impact of vitamin D on the immune response in various respiratory tract diseases, such as tuberculosis, influenza and other viral conditions, provides support for the significant role that vitamin D can also play in the immune response to SARS-CoV-2 infection, where an excessive inflammatory response has been deemed responsible for severe and sometimes irreversible lung, heart, kidney and liver damage in the course of the disease [14]. Furthermore, SARS-CoV-2 binds to the ACE2 (angiotensin-converting enzyme 2) receptor on the surface of the epithelial cells of the respiratory mucosa, the alveolar cells of the lung, vascular endothelial cells and macrophages [15]. Coronavirus infection depresses the expression of the ACE2 receptor, thereby inducing a multi-organ accumulation of angiotensin II, which increases the cytokine storm [16]. Vice versa, vitamin D promotes the expression of the ACE2 receptor gene and reduces the expression of the REN gene, thereby modulating, through inhibition, the overall activity of the renin-angiotensin system [17]. Lastly, an additional important pathogenetic element is given by activation of the haemocoagulative cascade with increased levels of D-dimer and fibrinogen in the circulation and diffuse thromboembolic phenomena [18]. A central mechanism of thrombotic events is the generation of thrombin mediated by the massive release of Tissue Factor (TF) secondary to inflammatory vascular damage. In this regard, vitamin D metabolites have been shown to regulate the haemocoagulative cascade by reducing TF expression and activity and, conversely, increasing the expression of thrombomodulin (TM) [19].

Unfortunately, the results of clinical and epidemiological research have unam-biguously supplemented these findings from studies in clinical pathology. One ecological study conducted in 20 European countries showed an inverse correlation between the average level of circulating vitamin D in each country and the respective incidence of COVID-19, as well as between the average level of vitamin D and the number of deaths from COVID-19 [20].

Two systematic reviews and related meta-analyses of observational studies on the relationship between vitamin D and SARS-CoV-2 infection have also been published:

| TABLE I. Review of clinical trials on vitamin D and SARS-CoV-2 infection. | | |
|---|--|---|
| Author | Study type | Key Findings |
| llie et al. Aging Clin Exp Res 2020 | Ecological | In a comparison among European countries: a) inverse correlation between mean level of circulating vitamin D and number of cases of COVID-19 per million inhabitants (r = -0.44; p = 0.050); b) inverse correlation between mean level of circulating vitamin D and number of deaths from COVID-19 (r = -0.43; p = 0.050) |
| Butler-Laporte et al. PLoS Med 2021 | Mendelian randomisation | In a Mendelian randomisation study based on more than 14,000 cases of COVID-19 and approximately 1,300,000 participants without the disease, genetic predisposition to higher <i>vs</i> lower levels of 25(0H)D was not found to be associated with disease risk ($OR = 0.95$; 95% CI: 0.84, 1.08), hospitalisation ($OR = 1.09$; CI 95: 0.89, 1.33; p = 0.41) or severe disease ($OR = 0.97$; 95% CI: 0.77, 1.22; p = 0.77) |
| Petrelli et al. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2020 | Systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies | Includes 43 cross-sectional, case-control and cohort studies (retrospective or prospective), with over 600,000 patients in total: suggests that vitamin D deficiency is associated with greater severity of COVID-19 disease ($OR = 2.6$; 95% CI: 1.84-3.67; p < 0.01- and higher mortality ($OR = 1.22$; 95% CI: 1.04-1.43; p < 0.01) compared to normal levels. Low study quality on average, high heterogeneity and high level of bias with regard to patient selection criteria, threshold levels used and confounding factors |
| Bassetne et al. Metab Clin Exp 2021 | Systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies | Compared to the other systematic review, only 31 studies were considered because they were published in peer-reviewed journals. They also found a trend towards higher mortality and higher risk of admission to intensive care and need for assisted ventilation for patients with 25(OH)D levels < 20 g/mL compared to those with higher levels. However, this trend did not reach statistical significance, partly due to the smaller number of studies available for each type of outcome and, moreover, the quality of the studies was generally low and the level of heterogeneity conspicuous with a high risk of bias |
| Entrenas Castillo et al. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2020 | Clinical trial | Among 76 patients hospitalised for COVID-19 (of whom only 50 had received treatment including calcifediol), only 1 calcifediol-treated patient required intensive care compared with half of the untreated patients ($p < 0.001$) |
| Rastogi et al. J Postgrad Med 2020 | Clinical trial | In a trial of COVID-19 patients with 25(OH)D levels < 20 ng/mL, randomised to treatment with cholecalciferol (n = 16) or placebo (n = 24), after 2 weeks 62.5% of treated patients were SARS-CoV-2 negative vs 20.8% of controls. In the first Group there was a reduction in fibrinogen but not in serum CRP, procalcitonin, ferritin or D-dimer |
| Murai et al. JAMA 2021 | Clinical trial | In a trial of 240 COVID-19 patients, half randomised to a single oral dose of 200,000 IU vitamin D_3 and half to placebo, no differences were found in length of hospital stay, use of intensive care and assisted ventilation or mortality |

both included cross-sectional observations, case-control comparisons and retrospective or prospective cohort studies. The meta-analysis by Petrelli et al. included 43 studies with a total exceeding 600,000 patients. It suggested that vitamin D deficiency is associated with greater severity of COVID-19 disease (OR = 2.6; 95% CI: 1.84-3.67; p < 0.01) and higher mortality (OR = 1.22; 95% CI: 1.04-1.43; p < 0.01) compared with normal levels [21]. The second analysis, by Bassatne et al., considered only 31 studies published in peer-reviewed journals. They found a trend towards higher mortality and higher risk of admission to intensive care and need for assisted ventilation for patients with 25(OH)D levels < 20 ng/ mL compared to those with higher levels. However, this trend did not reach statistical significance, partly because of the lower number of studies available for each type of outcome: the quality of the studies was generally low whilst the level of heterogeneity in the analysis was very high [22]. Still within the scope of observational studies, added recently was a Mendelian randomisation study, which, by contrasting subjects with a genetic predisposition to lower or higher plasma levels of 25(OH)D, found no differences in susceptibility to infection by SARS-CoV-2, the need for hospitalisation or in disease severity [23]. An important limitation of this research was however, that it did not include subjects with 25(OH)D levels < 20 ng/mL.

At the moment, there are three current clinical trials that have been completed and are available. In the first, conducted on 76 patients hospitalised for COVID-19, of whom 50 were treated with calcifediol and 26 were used as controls, only 1 treated patient out of the 50 required hospitalisation in intensive care compared with 50% of the untreated patients [24]. In the second small trial, in India, on COVID-19 patients with 25(OH)D levels < 20 ng/mL, randomised to treatment with chole-calciferol (n = 16) or placebo (n = 24), after about two weeks, two-thirds of treated participants were SARS-CoV-2 negative vs about one-fifth of controls. Furthermore, plasma levels of fibrinogen (but not CRP, procalcitonin, ferritin or D-dimer) were reduced in the treatment Group [25]. In a trial of 240 COVID-19 patients, half randomised to a single oral dose of 200,000 IU vitamin D₃ and half to placebo, no differences were found in the various clinical outcomes analysed [26]. All three of these trials so far published present a risk of significant bias linked mainly to patient selection and randomisation methods. In conclusion, the findings provided by the experimental studies on the physiological role of vitamin D in regulating the functions of the immune system are extensive and robust. In addition, the benefit of maintaining adequate levels of vitamin D in the prevention of acute respiratory tract infections seems to have been clinically and epidemiologically established. With regards to patients with SARS CoV-2 infections, there are copious indications that vitamin D may exert effective protective action through modulation of the immunological response, attenuation of the cytokine storm and the inflammatory response, preservation of the integrity of the pulmonary epithelial barrier and through its antithrombotic action in turn related to the anti-inflammatory action and modulation of the renin-angiotensin system. Nevertheless, conclusive findings on the effects of supplementation in COVID-19 patients are not yet available. This is because, although they tend to show a favourable effect overall, results from the several observational studies and the few clinical trials available today have not been unambiguous. The discrepancies among the different studies can be explained by the contributions of several factors: the small size of many of the studies conducted, the heterogeneity in patient selection and in disease stage, differences in the cut-off used to define vitamin D deficiency or in the doses and methods of its administration, the possibility that the level of circulating 25(OH)D did not reflect the true bioavailability of the vitamin and its metabolites in the body and, again, the possibility of broad variability among individuals in their response to supplementation due to genetic and/or acquired factors (e.g. presence of obesity).

Hence, clearly, we need to await the results of additional ongoing trials [22], some of which are notably large.

References

- Zittermann A. Vitamin D status, supplementation and cardiovascular disease. Anticancer Res 2018;38:1179-1186. https:// doi.org/10.21873/anticanres.12338
- ² Baeke F, Takiishi T, Korf H, et al. Vitamin D: modulator of the immune system. Curr Opin Pharmacol 2010;10:482-496. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2010.04.001

- Aranow, C. Vitamin D and the immune system. J Investig Med 2011;59:881-886. https://doi.org/10.231/ IIM.0b013e31821b8755
- ⁴ Bscheider M, Butcher EC. Vitamin D immunoregulation through dendritic cells. Immunology 2016;148:227-236. https:// doi.org/10.1111/imm.12610
- ⁵ Kongsbak M, Levring TB, Geisler C, et al. The vitamin d receptor and T cell function. Front Immunol 2013;4:148. https://doi. org/10.3389/fimmu.2013.00148
- ⁶ Wang Q, He Y, Shen Y, et al. Vitamin D inhibits COX-2 expression and inflammatory response by targeting thioesterase superfamily member 4. J Biol Chem 2014;289:11681-11694. https://doi. org/10.1074/jbc.M113.517581
- ⁷ Jain SK, Micinski D. Vitamin D upregulates glutamate cysteine ligase and glutathione reductase, and GSH formation, and decreases ROS and MCP-1 and IL-8 secretion in high-glucose exposed U937 monocytes. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2013;437: 7-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.06.004
- ⁸ Chen S, Sims GP, Chen XX, et al. Modulatory effects of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ on human B cell differentiation. J Immunol 2007;179:1634-1647. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.179.3.1634
- ⁹ Sasindran SJ, Torrelles JB. Mycobacterium Tuberculosis infection and inflammation: what is beneficial for the host and for the bacterium? Front Microbiol 2011;2:2. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmicb.2011.00002
- ¹⁰ Aibana O, Huang CC, Aboud S, et al. Vitamin D status and risk of incident tuberculosis disease: a nested case-control study, systematic review, and individual-participant data meta-analysis. PLoS Med 2019;16:e1002907. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002907
- ¹¹ Charoenngam N, Holick MF. Immunologic effects of Vitamin D on human health and disease. Nutrients 2020;12:2097.https:// doi.org/10.3390/nu12072097
- ¹² Martineau AR, Jollie DA, Hooper RL, et al. Vitamin D supplementation to prevent acute respiratory tract infections: systematic review and meta-analysis of individual participant data. Br Med J 2017;356:i6583. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i6583
- ¹³ Felsenstein S, Herbert JA, McNamara PS, et al. COVID-19: immunology and treatment options. Clin Immunol 2020;215:108448. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. clim.2020.108448

- ¹⁴ Cao X. COVID-19: immunopathology and its implications for therapy. Nat Rev Immunol 2020;20:269-270. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41577-020-0308-3
- ¹⁵ Hamming I, Timens W, Bulthuis M, et al. Tissue distribution of ACE2 protein, the functional receptor for SARS coronavirus. A first step in understanding SARS pathogenesis. J Pathol 2004;203:631-637. https://doi.org/10.1002/path.1570
- ¹⁶ Kuba K, Imai Y, Rao S, et al. A crucial role of angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) in SARS coronavirus-induced lung injury. Nat Med 2005;11:875-879. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1267
- ¹⁷ Giménez VMM, Sanz RL, Marón FJM, et al. Vitamin D-RAAS connection: an integrative standpoint into cardiovascular and neuroinflammatory disorders. Curr Protein Pept Sci 2020;21:948-954. https://doi. org/10.2174/13892037216662006 06220719
- ¹⁸ Tang N, Li D, Wang X, Sun Z. Abnormal coagulation parameters are associated with poor prognosis in patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. J Thromb Haemost 2020;18:844-847. https://doi. org/10.1111/jth.14768

- ² Ohsawa M, Koyama T, Yamamoto K, et al. 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D_3 and its potent synthetic analogs downregulate tissue factor and upregulate thrombomodulin expression in monocytic cells, counteracting the effects of Tumor Necrosis Factor and oxidized LDL. Circulation 2000;102:2867-2872. https://doi. org/10.1161/01.cir.102.23.2867
- ²⁰ Ilie PC, Stefanescu S, Smith L. The role of vitamin D in the prevention of coronavirus disease 2019 infection and mortality. Aging Clin Exp Res 2020;32:1195-1198. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40520-020-01570-8
- ²¹ Petrelli F, Luciani A, Perego G, et al. Therapeutic and prognostic role of vitamin D for COVID-19 infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 43 observational studies. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2021;211:105883. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2021.105883
- ²² Bassatne A, Basbous M, Chakhtoura M, et al. The link between COVID-19 and VItamin D (VIVID): a systematic review and meta-analysis. Metab Clin Exper 2021;119:154753. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.metabol.2021.154753
- ²³ Butler-Laporte G, Nakanishi T, Mooser V, et al. Vitamin D and COVID-19 susceptibility

and severity in the COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative: a Mendelian randomization study. PLoS Med 2021;18:e1003605. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pmed.1003605

- ²⁴ Entrenas Castillo M, Entrenas Costa LM, Vaquero Barrios JM, et al. Effect of calcifediol treatment and best available therapy versus best available therapy on intensive care unit admission and mortality among patients hospitalized for COVID-19: a pilot randomized clinical study. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2020;203:105751. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jsbmb.2020.105751
- ²⁵ Rastogi A, Bhansali A, Khare N, et al. Short term, high-dose vitamin D supplementation for COVID-19 disease: a randomised, placebo controlled, study (SHADE study). Postgrad Med J 2020. https://doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2020-139065
- ²⁶ Murai IH, Fernandes AL, Sales LP, et al. Effect of a single high dose of vitamin D₃ on hospital length of stay in patients with moderate to severe COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2021;17:2021. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jama.2020.26848